

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/516,418	05/13/2005	Edouard S.P Bouvier	60009US(49991)	4955	
48990 EDWARDS A	7590 05/15/200 NGELL PALMER & E	EXAM	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 558	74	ARNOLD, ERNST V			
BOSTON, MA	A 02205		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1616			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/15/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/516,418	BOUVIER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
ERNST V. ARNOLD	1616		

	ERNST V. ARNOLD	1010			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 04 May 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.			
<ol> <li>X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appendor for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request		
The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.				
D) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In o event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW.					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from; (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as		
NOTICE OF APPEAL					
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>					
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t         <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause		
<ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1)					
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> </ol>		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).		
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the		
7. \( \times \) for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \( \times \) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	ided below or appended.	I be entered and an e	xplanation of		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	<del></del>				
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.		

11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

13. Other: .

/Ernst V Arnold/ Examiner, Art Unit 1616 Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant amended claim 1 with the new limitation: "such that said enhancement produces a favorable chemical property selected from the group consisting of more complete reaction, increased efficiency, increased yield, increased rate, accelerated chemical digestion and increased utility. The Examiner now has to consider the scope of each new "chemical property" with respect to what is taught in the art especially in view of what may be inherent or intrinsic to the prior art. While Applicant asserts in the Remarks that there is no overlap between the prior art and the instantly pending claims, the Examiner still needs to ascertain this. Applicant notes that Lee "night suggest an increase in solubility of denatured proteins using a surfactant" and does this increase in solubility read on the instantly claimed "more complete reaction" or "increased efficiency". This requires further search and consideration because these terms are toroad and the Examiner needs to re-consider if these terms distinguish the invention or overlap with the art.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant has amended the claims with a new limitation not previously presented which requires further search and consideration.